

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/851,502       05/08/2001       David B. Russell       2247.1001-007       3171         21005       7590       10/07/2003       EXAMINER         HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.       THEISEN, MARY LYNN F         530 VIRGINIA ROAD       ART UNIT       PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.  THEISEN, MARY LYNN F 530 VIRGINIA ROAD	09/851,502	05/08/2001	David B. Russell	2247.1001-007	3171
530 VIRGINIA ROAD	21005	7590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
ADMINUTE DATE OF THE PARTY OF T		•	THEISEN, MARY LYNN F		
				ARTIBIT	DADED NUMBER
	CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133		1732	

1732 DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• *.•			$\mathcal{L}$
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Author Occurrence	09/851,502	RUSSELL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Mary Lynn F. Theisen	1732
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed tays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under too of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-114 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>22-25,89-102</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13,17-21,26-39,41-46,48-84,86-88	and 103-114 is/are rejected.	
7)🖂	Claim(s) 14-16,40,47 and 85 is/are objected to	I.	
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2001</u> is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- ,	proved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
a	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been i	received.
Attachmen	•		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)



Application/Control Number: 09/851,502

Art Unit: 1732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims1-13,17-21,26-39,41-46,48-84,86-88,103-114 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,007,318 and claims 1-51 of U.S. Patent No. 6,375,874. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims read on the patented claims. The patented claims indicate that the colorless binder and dyes can be mixed but do not claim the steps. One of ordinary skill in the art would know how to mix the dyes and binder to achieve desired colors. The use of computers to perform steps would have been obvious because computer control is often used in many processes. Recycle of collected material (claims 107, 108 and 111) would have been obvious as it would reduce processing costs.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,502

Art Unit: 1732

are .

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 14-16, 40, 47 and 85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 22-25 and 89-102 are allowed.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not suggest a switch for selecting air flow through the feed reservoir or build chamber, or the specific cleaning solution (claims 40 and 47) or the circulation loops (claims 85 and 89-102).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 703-308-2312. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 703-305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Mary Lynn FUTheiser Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732 Page 3